## Policy Evaluation and Value function Approximation

## Wen Yu Departamento de Control Automático CINVESTAV-IPN

<span id="page-0-0"></span>4 0 8

ow to learn good policy

- On-policy: evaluate a policy from data obtained from that policy. Sampling policy is the same as learning policy.  $(s_1, a_1, s_2, a_2)$
- Off-policy: evaluate a policy from data obtained from a **different** policy. Sampling policy is different with learning policy.  $(s_1, a_1, s_2, a_1)$ ,  $(s_1, a_2, s_2, a_2)$

On-policy:

- It learns a better policy using the data from this policy. It is local optimal.
- **•** It cannot assure both exploration and exploitation.

Off-policy approach used two policies:

- One policy generates behavior (exploration, go anywhere). It is called behavior policy, b
- Another policy is for exploitation (use optimal policy). It is called target policy, *π*

# On-policy/Off-policy



重

 $298$ 

금 > < 금 >

**K ロ ト K 伊 ト K** 

Initialize policy *π*

Repeat

Polity evaluation: compute Q*<sup>π</sup>* Policy improvement: update *π*

$$
\pi'(s) = \arg \max_{a} Q^{\pi}(s, a)
$$
  
= arg max<sub>a</sub>  $\left[ r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} p(s' \mid s, a) V^{\pi}(s') \right]$ 

It is model-based, we need  $p(s' | s, a)$ 

## MC for Q evaluation (On Policy)

MC Policy Evaluation (Model free) Initialize the counter  $N\left(s,a\right)=0,~G\left(s,a\right)=0,~Q^{\pi}\left(s,a\right)=0,~\forall s\in S,$  $\forall a \in A$ 

Loop

Using policy  $\pi$  sample the episode  $i$  untill  $\mathcal{T}_i$ , generate  $s_{i,1}, a_{i,1}, r_{i,1}, \cdots s_{i,T_i}, a_{i,T_i}, r_{i,T_i},$ calculate the return from t to all path of the ith episode

$$
G_{i,t}=r_{i,t}+\gamma r_{i,t+1}+\cdots+\gamma^{T_i-1}r_{i,T_i}
$$

For each state-action  $(s, a)$  visited in episode i

For the first time t that the state  $(s, a)$  is visited in episode i

$$
\begin{array}{l} N(s, a) = N(s, a) + 1 \\ G(s, a) = G(s, a) + G_{i, t}(s, a) \\ Q^{\pi}(s, a) = \frac{G(s, a)}{N(s, a)} \end{array}
$$

つへへ

Given an estimate *Q<sup>π</sup> (s, a*), ∀*s,* ∀*a*<br>... Update new policy

$$
\pi_{t+1}\left(s\right)=\arg\max_{\textbf{a}}Q^{\pi_{t}}\left(s,\textbf{a}\right)
$$

$$
\arg \max_a Q^{\pi_i}(s, a) \to a
$$
  

$$
\max_a Q^{\pi_i}(s, a) \to Q
$$

(□ ) ( n ) (

活

Policy Evaluation with Exploration

- Need to try all  $(s, a)$  pairs, then follow  $\pi$
- $Q^{\pi}\left( s,a\right)$  should be good enough so that policy improvement is a monotonic operator

Balance exploration and exploitation. Define  $|\mathcal{A}|$  be the number of actions. *e*-greedy policy is

$$
\pi(a \mid s) = \left\{ \begin{array}{cl} \mathsf{arg\,max}_a \, Q^\pi\left(s, a\right) & \mathsf{probability}\,\, \left(1 - \epsilon\right) \\ a & \mathsf{probability}\,\, \frac{\epsilon}{|\mathcal{A}|} \end{array} \right.
$$

where *a* is a random action with probability  $\epsilon$ , but we take a  $|\mathcal{A}|$  times,  $\max_a Q^{\pi}(s, a)$  is greedy with probability  $(1 - \epsilon)$ It is also called  $\epsilon$ -soft, or soft policy

 $QQQ$ 

### Theorem

For any  $\epsilon$ -greedy policy  $\pi$ , w.r.t.  $Q^{\pi}$ ,  $\pi_{i+1}$  is a monotonic improvement

 $V^{\pi_{i+1}} \geq V^{\pi_i}$ 

### Proof.

Becasue

$$
Q^{\pi_k}(s, a) = r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} p(s' \mid s, a) V^{\pi_k}(s')
$$
  

$$
Q^{\pi}(s, a) = r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} \pi(s' \mid s, a) Q^{\pi}(s')
$$

so

$$
V^{\pi_{i+1}} = V^{\pi}\left(s, \pi_{i+1}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left[Q^{\pi_{i+1}}\left(s, a\right)\right] = \sum_{a \in A} \pi_{i+1}\left(a \mid s\right) Q^{\pi}\left(s, a\right)
$$

$$
= (1 - \epsilon) \max_{a} Q^{\pi}\left(s, a\right) + \frac{\epsilon}{|\mathcal{A}|} \sum_{a \in A} Q^{\pi}\left(s, a\right)
$$

### We calculate

$$
(1 - \epsilon) \max_{a} Q^{\pi}(s, a) = (1 - \epsilon) \max_{a} Q^{\pi}(s, a) \frac{1 - \epsilon}{1 - \epsilon}
$$
  
= (1 - \epsilon) \max\_{a} Q^{\pi}(s, a) \frac{(\sum\_{a} \pi\_{i}(a|s)) - \epsilon}{1 - \epsilon}  
\ge (1 - \epsilon) Q^{\pi}(s, a) \frac{\sum\_{a} [\pi\_{i}(a|s) - \frac{1}{|A|} \epsilon]}{1 - \epsilon}  
= Q^{\pi}(s, a) \sum\_{a} [\pi\_{i}(a|s) - \frac{1}{|A|} \epsilon]  
= \sum\_{a} \pi\_{i}(a|s) Q^{\pi}(s, a) - \frac{\epsilon}{|A|} \sum\_{a} Q^{\pi}(s, a)

画

 $298$ 

4 日下

∢母

### So

$$
V^{\pi}\left(s,\pi_{i+1}\right) \geq \sum_{a} \pi_{i}\left(a \mid s\right) Q^{\pi}\left(s,a\right) - \frac{\epsilon}{|\mathcal{A}|} \sum_{a} Q^{\pi}\left(s,a\right) + \frac{\epsilon}{|\mathcal{A}|} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q^{\pi}\left(s,\right) = \sum_{a} \pi_{i}\left(a \mid s\right) Q^{\pi}\left(s,a\right) = \mathbb{E}\left[Q^{\pi}\left(s,a\right)\right] = V^{\pi_{i}}
$$

So the policy improvement

$$
\pi\left(\left. \mathsf{a} \,\right\vert \,\mathsf{s}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl} \mathsf{arg}\, \mathsf{max}_{\mathsf{a}}\,Q^{\pi}\left(\mathsf{s}, \,\mathsf{a}\right) & \mathsf{probability}\,\,\left(1-\epsilon\right) \\ \mathsf{a} & \mathsf{probability}\,\,\frac{\epsilon}{|\mathcal{A}|} \end{array}\right.
$$

can assure

$$
V^{\pi_{i+1}} \geq V^{\pi_i}, \text{ for all } s \in \mathcal{S}
$$

*ε*-greedy policy improvement over policy  $\pi$ , for any  $s \in S$ 

4 0 8

## Convergence the soft-policy

When the *ε*-soft policy  $\pi$  is no longer improved, then

 $V^{\pi_{i+1}}=V^{\pi_i}$ 

## Proof.

Wehn the policy  $\pi$  is no longer improved,

$$
\max_{a}Q^{\pi}\left(s,a\right)=Q^{\pi}\left(s,a\right)
$$

so

$$
V^{\pi}\left(s, \pi_{i+1}\right) = \frac{\epsilon}{|\mathcal{A}|} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q^{\pi}\left(s, a\right) + \left(1 - \epsilon\right) \max_{a} Q^{\pi}\left(s, a\right)
$$

$$
= \sum_{a} \pi_{i}\left(a \mid s\right) Q^{\pi}\left(s, a\right) = V^{\pi_{i}} = V^{\pi_{*}}
$$

4 0 8

 $QQ$ 

# GLIE (Greedy in Limit of Infinite Exploration)

If all state-action pairs are visited an infinite number of times

$$
\lim_{i\to\infty}N_i(s,a)\to\infty
$$

This means  $\epsilon = 0$ , the  $\epsilon$ -greedy policy becomes

$$
\pi\left(\mathbf{a}\mid\mathbf{s}\right)=\arg\max_{\mathbf{a}}Q^{\pi}\left(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a}\right)
$$

GLIE

$$
\lim_{i \to \infty} \pi_i (a \mid s) \to \arg \max_{\text{with prob } 1} Q(s, a)
$$

So the behavior policy max  $Q(s, a)$  converges to greedy policy  $\pi_i(a \mid s)$ .

## MC for Q function evaluation with e-greedy

Initialize the counter  $N(s, a) = 0$ ,  $Q(s, a) = 0$ ,  $\forall s \in S$ ,  $\forall a \in A$ , set  $\epsilon = 1$ ,  $i = 1$ Create initial *e*-greedy policy

$$
\pi_i = \epsilon\text{-greedy}\left(Q\right)
$$

#### Loop

sample the episode  $i$  with  $\pi_i$  :  $s_{i,1},s_{i,1},r_{i,1},\cdots$   $s_{i,T_i},s_{i,T_i},r_{i,T_i}$ calculate the return from  $t$  to all path of the *i*th episode

$$
G_{i,t}=r_{i,t}+\gamma r_{i,t+1}+\cdots+\gamma^{T_i-1}r_{i,T_i}
$$

For 
$$
t = 1 \cdots T
$$
  
\nif first-visit  $(s, a)$  in episode *i*,  
\n
$$
N(s, a) = N(s, a) + 1
$$
\n
$$
Q(s_t, a_t) = \frac{G(s, a)}{N(s, a)}
$$
\n
$$
= Q(s_t, a_t) + \frac{1}{N(s, a)} [G_{i,t} - Q(s_t, a_t)]
$$

 $i = i + 1$  $(VINVE3 IAV-IPN)$ 

```
Initial e-greedy policy \pi randomly (\epsilon = 1), initial state s_t = s_0Sample action from policy, Take a_t \sim \pi(s_t)Observer (r_t, s_{t+1})Loop
     Take action a_{t+1} \sim \pi(s_{t+1})Observer (r_{t+1}, s_{t+2})Update Q with (s_t, a_t, r_t, s_{t+1}, a_{t+1})Q(s_t, a_t) = Q(s_t, a_t) + \alpha [r_t + \gamma Q(s_{t+1}, a_{t+1}) - Q(s_t, a_t)]Perform policy improvement, e-greedy(Q)
     t = t + 1
```
#### Theorem

SARSA for finite-state and finite-action MDPs converges to the optimal action-value,

$$
Q(s, a) \rightarrow Q^*(s, a)
$$

if 1) The policy sequence  $\pi_t$  (a | s) satisfied the condition GLIE (All state-action pairs are visited an infinite number of times), 2) The step  $\alpha_t$ satisfied the Robbins-Muno sequence

$$
\textstyle\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} a_t = \infty\\ \textstyle\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} a_t^2 < \infty
$$

Empirically do not use it.

つひひ

Check the condition

$$
0
$$

We usually select constant learning rate

$$
a_t=\alpha, \quad 0<\alpha\leq 1
$$

When

$$
a_t = \frac{\eta}{1+\frac{1}{\beta}k}, \quad 0 < \eta \leq 1, \quad \beta >> 1
$$

where  $\eta$  is a constant. Since  $\beta$  is very big, for finite time k, the learning rate  $\alpha_k \approx \eta$ , is constant.

#### Because

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\eta}{1 + \frac{1}{\beta}k} = \infty
$$
  

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\eta}{1 + \frac{1}{\beta}k}\right)^2 = \eta^2 \beta^2 \psi(\beta, 1) - \eta^2 < \infty
$$

where  $\psi(\beta, 1)$  is the Digamma function, it is bounded. So  $Q_k$  converges to zero w.p.1, and hence,  $Q_k$  converges to  $Q^*$  with probability one.

Maintain state-action estimates and use bootstrapping, use the value of the best function action

$$
Q\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right) = Q\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right) + \alpha \left[r_{t} + \gamma \max_{a'} Q\left(s_{t+1}, a'\right) - Q\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right)\right]
$$

where SARSA is

$$
Q(s_t, a_t) = Q(s_t, a_t) + \alpha [r_t + \gamma Q(s_{t+1}, a_{t+1}) - Q(s_t, a_t)]
$$

4 0 8

```
Initial Q(s, a), \forall s \in S, initial state s_t = s_0Set \pi_b to be e-greedy w.r.t. Q
Loop
     Take action a_k \sim \pi, (s_k)
```
Take action 
$$
a_t \rightarrow r_b
$$
 (or)

\nObserve  $(r_t, s_{t+1})$ 

\nUpdate  $Q$  with  $(s_t, a_t, r_t, s_{t+1})$ 

\n $Q(s_t, a_t) = Q(s_t, a_t) + \alpha \left[ r_t + \gamma \max_{a} Q(s_{t+1}, a) - Q(s_t, a_t) \right]$ 

Perform policy improvement,  $\epsilon$ -greedy( $Q$ )  $t = t + 1$ 

4 0 8

## Double Q-learning

Initial  $Q_1$  (s, a) and  $Q_2$  (s, a),  $\forall s \in S$ , initial state  $s_t = s_0$ Loop

```
Set a_t using \epsilon-greedy
```

$$
\pi\left(\boldsymbol{s}\right)=\arg\max_{\boldsymbol{a}}\left(Q_{1}+Q_{2}\right)
$$

Observe 
$$
(r_t, s_{t+1})
$$
  
If with *c* probability

$$
Q_{1}\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right) = Q_{1}\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right) + \alpha\left[r_{t} + \gamma \max_{a} Q_{2}\left(s_{t+1}, a\right) - Q_{1}\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right)\right]
$$

e else

 $\leftarrow$ 

$$
Q_{2}\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right) = Q_{2}\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right) + \alpha \left[r_{t} + \gamma \max_{a} Q_{1}\left(s_{t+1}, a\right) - Q_{2}\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right)\right]
$$

 $t=t+1$ 

### Lemma

Consider the stochastic process  $(\zeta, \Delta, F)$ , let P be a sequence of  $i$ ncreasing,  $\zeta_0$  and  $\Delta_0$  are  $P_0$ -measurable, and  $\zeta_k$ ,  $\Delta_k$  and  $F_k$  are  $P_k$ -measurable, if  $\Delta_k$  satisfies

$$
\Delta_{k+1} = (1 - \zeta_k) \Delta_k + \zeta_k \mathcal{F}_k \tag{1}
$$

and

$$
0 \quad 0 < \zeta_k \leq 1, \ \sum_k \zeta_k = \infty, \ \sum_k \zeta_k^2 < \infty
$$

 $2 \leq \|\mathsf{E}\{F_k | P_k\}\| \leq \kappa \|\Delta_k\| + c_k, \, \kappa \in (0,1],$  and  $c_k$  converges to zero

**3** var ${F_k | P_k} \le K(1 + \kappa \|\Delta_k\|)^2$ , K is a positive constant,  $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the maximum norm.

then  $\Delta_k$  converges to zero with probability one.

つひひ

### **Theorem**

For the finite MDP  $(X, U, f, \rho)$ , the Q-learning algorithm

$$
Q_{k+1}(x_k, u_k) = Q_k(x_k, u_k)
$$
  
+ $\alpha_k \{ \rho(x_k, u_k) + \gamma \min_{u^*} [Q_k(x_{k+1}, u^*)] - Q_k(x_k, u_k) \}$ 

converges to the optimal value function  $Q^*$  almost surely, if

$$
\sum_{k} \alpha_{k} = \infty, \quad \sum_{k} \alpha_{k}^{2} < \infty \tag{2}
$$

4 0 8

## The Q-learning algorithm is

$$
Q_{k+1}(x_k, u_k) = Q_k(x_k, u_k) + \alpha_k [r_{k+1} + \gamma \min_{u^*} Q_k(x_{k+1}, u^*) - Q_k(x_k, u_k)]
$$
  
\n
$$
= (1 - \alpha_k) Q_k(x_k, u_k) + \alpha_k [r_{k+1} + \gamma \min_{u^*} Q_k(x_{k+1}, u^*)]
$$
  
\nwhere  $r_{k+1} = \rho(x_k, u_k)$ . Define  $\Delta_k(x_k, u_k) = Q_k(x_k, u_k) - Q^*$ ,  
\n
$$
\Delta_{k+1}(x_k, u_k) = (1 - \alpha_k) \Delta_k(x_k, u_k) + \alpha_k (r_{k+1} + \gamma \min_{u^*} Q_k(x_{k+1}, u^*) - Q^*)
$$

э

 $\Omega$ 

4 0 8

DeÖne

$$
F_k(x_k, u_k) = r_{k+1} + \gamma \min_{u^*} Q_k(x_{k+1}, u^*) - Q^*
$$

Check the condition

$$
\|E\{F_k|P_k\}\| \leq \kappa \|\Delta_k\| + c_k, \kappa \in (0,1]
$$

Use the value iteration mapping  $H$  for  $P_k$ ,

$$
E\{F_k(x_k, u_k)|P_k\} = \mathcal{H}\left[Q_k(x_k, u_k)\right] - Q^* = \mathcal{H}\left[Q_k(x_k, u_k)\right] - \mathcal{H}(Q^*)
$$

Since  $H$  is a contraction, from Lemma

$$
\mathcal{H}\left[Q_k(x_k, u_k)\right] - \mathcal{H}(Q^*) \leq \gamma \|Q_k(x_k, u_k) - Q^*(x_k, u_k)\| = \gamma \|\Delta_k(x_k, u_k)\|
$$

So

$$
||E\{F_k(x_k, u_k)|P_k\}|| \leq \gamma ||\Delta_k(x_k, u_k)||
$$

4 0 8

Check the condition

$$
\text{var}\{F_k|P_k\}\leq K(1+\kappa\|\Delta_k\|)^2
$$

$$
var\{F_k(x_k, u_k)|P_k\} = var\left[\left(r_{k+1} + \gamma \min_{u^*} Q_k(x_{k+1}, u^*) - Q^*\right)^2\right]
$$

Because  $r_{k+1}$  is bounded, and  $\Delta_k(x_k, u_k) = Q_k(x_k, u_k) - Q^*$ ,

$$
\text{var}\{F_k(x_k, u_k)|P_k\} \leq K\left(1+\gamma\|\Delta_k(x_k, u_k)\|\right)^2
$$

where  $K$  is a constant.

4 0 8

Check the condition

$$
0<\zeta_k\leq 1, \sum_k \zeta_k=\infty.
$$

For Q-learning we usually select constant learning rate

$$
\zeta_k = \alpha, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1
$$

But if

$$
\alpha_k = \frac{\eta}{1 + \frac{1}{\beta}k}, \quad 0 < \eta \le 1, \quad \beta > 1
$$

where  $\eta$  is a constant. Since  $\beta$  is very big, for finite time k, the learning rate  $\alpha_k \approx \eta$ , is constant.

#### Because

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\eta}{1 + \frac{1}{\beta}k} = \infty
$$
  

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\eta}{1 + \frac{1}{\beta}k}\right)^2 = \eta^2 \beta^2 \psi(\beta, 1) - \eta^2 < \infty
$$

where  $\psi(\beta, 1)$  is the Digamma function, it is bounded. So  $\Delta_k$  converges to zero w.p.1, and hence,  $Q_k$  converges to  $Q^*$  with probability one.

 $\Omega$ 

4 0 8



- There are 5 rooms connected by doors.
- The outside of the building is number 5.
- An agent start from any room, and go outside (to Room-5)

 $\leftarrow$ 



"-1" represents no link between nodes

4 0 8

Q learning

$$
Q_{k+1}\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right)=Q_{k}\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right)+\alpha\left[r_{t+1}+\gamma \max _{a_{t}}\left[Q_{k}\left(s_{t+1}, a_{t}\right)\right]-Q_{k}\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right)\right]
$$

- **•** Each exploration is an episode
- Each episode consists of the agent moving from the initial state to the goal state

4 0 8

## Q-learning

The initial state is Room-1,  $s_0 = 1$ The initialize matrix is  $Q_1$  ( $s_0$ ,  $a$ ) = 0 let  $\gamma = 0.8$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ ,

$$
Q_{k+1}(s_t, a_t) = Q_k(s_t, a_t) + r_{t+1} + \gamma \max_{a_t} [Q_k(s_{t+1}, a_t)] - Q_k(s_t, a_t) = r_{t+1} + \gamma \max_{a_t} [Q_k(s_{t+1}, a_t)]
$$

Let  $Q(1,5)$  is the value of Q-table as  $Q_{1,5}$ . It is means: Room 1  $(s_t) \rightarrow$ Room 5  $(s_{t+1})$ .  $Q_k$  ( $s_{t+1}$ , a): from Room 5 there are three routes, we use greedy policy  $max_a \{q(5, 1), q(5, 4), q(5, 5)\}\$ 

$$
Q\left(1,5\right)=r\left(1,5\right)+0.8\max_{a}\left\{ Q\left(5,1\right),Q\left(5,4\right),Q\left(5,5\right)\right\} =100+0.8*0=
$$

つへへ

After one episode

$$
Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

ヨメ メヨメ

×

**≮ロ ▶ (伊 )** 

<span id="page-32-0"></span>重

## Q-learning

Next episode: The initial state is Room-3,  $s_0 = 3$ There are three possible actions:  $3 \rightarrow 1, 3 \rightarrow 2, 3 \rightarrow 4$ For  $3 \rightarrow 1$ , there are 2 possible actions:  $1 \rightarrow 3$ ,  $1 \rightarrow 5$ .

$$
Q(3, 1) = r(3, 1) + 0.8 \max_{a} \{Q(1, 3), Q(1, 5)\} = 0 + 0.8 * 100 = 80
$$

<span id="page-33-0"></span>
$$
Q = \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c} & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 80 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}
$$

Then from Room-1, got to Room-5 to finish thi[s e](#page-32-0)[pis](#page-34-0)[o](#page-32-0)[d](#page-33-0)[e](#page-34-0)

After many episodes, Q-table reaches

$$
Q = \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c} & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 400 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 320 & 0 & 500 \\ 3 & 0 & 400 & 256 & 0 & 400 & 0 \\ 4 & 320 & 0 & 0 & 320 & 0 & 500 \\ 5 & 0 & 400 & 0 & 0 & 400 & 500 \end{array}
$$

<span id="page-34-0"></span>活

**← ロ ▶ → イ 同 →** 

# Control policy



If the initial is Room-2, the optimal trajectory is  $2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 5$ .

4 0 8

Generalization: Tabular representation is insufficient Update the estimator after each episod (MC) or each step (TD): teacher

Approximating v*<sup>π</sup>* from experience using a known policy *π*. Approximate state value,

$$
\hat{V}(s, w) \approx V^{\pi}(s)(s)
$$

Approximate control methods: approximation of the action-state value of policy *π*,

$$
\hat{Q}(s, a, w) \approx Q^{\pi}(s) (s, a)
$$

There are many ways to approximate the value function. For example, in the simplest linear representation, using  $\phi(s)$  to represent the eigenvector of the state s, the state value function can be approximately expressed as:

$$
\hat{V}\left(s,w\right)=W^{T}\phi\left(s\right)
$$

つひひ

- **1** Linear feature representation
- <sup>2</sup> Neural Networks
- <sup>3</sup> Decision trees: highly interpretable
- <sup>4</sup> Nearest neighbors
- **6** Fourier/Wavelet bases

Differential function approximators (smooth optimation property)

- Linear feature representation
- **•** Neural Networks

We use a feature vetror to represent the feature of the state s,

$$
X(s)=[x_1(s)\cdots x_n(s)]
$$

where the features are basis function, which can be defined in many different ways. Value function

$$
\hat{V}\left(s, w\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i} X_{i}\left(s\right) = X^{T}\left(s\right) W
$$

The object function is

$$
J_{w} = \mathbb{E}_{\pi} \left\{ \left[ V^{\pi} \left( s \right) - \hat{V} \left( s, w \right) \right]^{2} \right\}
$$

The real value function  $V^\pi(s)$  uses TD (SARSA) or Q-learning. The stochastic gradient descent is

$$
\Delta W = -\alpha \nabla J(W) = -\alpha \frac{\partial J}{\partial W}
$$
  
= -2\alpha \left[ \hat{V}(s, w) - V^{\pi}(s) \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial W} \hat{V}(s, w)  
= -2\alpha \left[ \hat{V}(s, w) - V^{\pi}(s) \right] X(s)

where *α* is leraning rate,  $\hat{V}(s, w) - V^{\pi}(s)$  is prediction error,  $X(s)$  is feature value

Becasue we do not have real  $V^\pi\left(s\right)$  as the target in supervised learning, we use estimated values from MC or TD to replace V *π* (s)

MC: the state value is the expected value of the return, we can substitue  $V^{\pi}(s)$  the the return

$$
G_t = \sum_i \gamma^{i-1} R_{i,t}
$$

after each episod

$$
W_{t+1} - W_t = \alpha \left[ G_t - X^T \left( s_t \right) W_t \right] X \left( s_t \right)
$$

Let  $G\left( s_{i}\right)$  be an unbiased sample of true expected return $V^{\pi}\left( s_{i}\right)$ 

$$
J = \arg\min_{W} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ G\left(s_{i}\right) - W_{i}X_{i}\left(s\right) \right]
$$

Least square

$$
W = \left(X^T X\right)^{-1} X G
$$

where X is a matrix of the features of each of N states  $X_i(s)$ No any Markov assumption

つひひ

### TD learning

$$
V_{t+1}^{\pi}(s) = V_t^{\pi}(s) + \alpha \left[ \{ r_t + \gamma V_t^{\pi}(s_{t+1}) \} - V_t^{\pi}(s) \right]
$$

or

$$
V^{\pi}\left(s\right)=V^{\pi}\left(s\right)+\alpha\left[r+\gamma V^{\pi}\left(s'\right)-V^{\pi}\left(s\right)\right]
$$

The traget is

$$
r+\gamma V^{\pi}\left(s'\right)
$$

find weights to minimize the mean squared erroe return $V^{\pi}\left(s_{i}\right)$ 

$$
J_{w} = \mathbb{E}_{\pi} \left\{ \left[ r + \gamma \hat{V}^{\pi} \left( s', W \right) - \hat{V}^{\pi} \left( s, W \right) \right]^{2} \right\}
$$

4 0 8

Linear TD(0)

$$
\Delta W = \alpha \left[ r_t + \gamma \hat{V}^{\pi} \left( s', W \right) - \hat{V}^{\pi} \left( s, W \right) \right] X \left( s \right) = \alpha \left[ r + \gamma X^{\tau} \left( s' \right) W - X^{\tau} \left( s \right) W \right] X \left( s \right)
$$

4 0 8

# TD(0) linear value function approximation: Policy Evaluation

Initial 
$$
t = 1
$$
,  $W = \text{rand}$   
Loop  
Sample  $(s_t, a_t, r_t, s_{t+1})$   
Update weights

$$
W = W + \alpha \left[ r + \gamma X^{T} (s') W - X^{T} (s) W \right] X (s)
$$
  

$$
t = t + 1
$$

4 0 8

$$
\hat{Q}\left(s,a,W\right) \approx Q^{\pi}\left(s,a\right)
$$
\n
$$
J_{w} = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left\{\left[Q^{\pi}\left(s,a\right)-\hat{Q}\left(s,a,W\right)\right]^{2}\right\}
$$

Use stochastic gradient descent to fid a local minimum

$$
\Delta W = -\alpha \frac{\partial J}{\partial W}
$$
  

$$
X(s, a) = [x_1(s, a) \cdots x_n(s, a)]
$$
  

$$
\hat{Q}(s, a, W) = X^T(s, a) W
$$

4 0 8

# Action-Value approximation

MC

$$
W_{t+1} - W_t = \alpha \left[ G_t - X^T \left( s_t, a_t \right) W_t \right] X \left( s_t, a_t \right)
$$

## SARSA

$$
W = W + \alpha \left[ r + \gamma X^T \left( s', a' \right) W - X^T \left( s, a \right) W \right] X \left( s, a \right)
$$

Q-learning

$$
W = W + \alpha \left[ r + \gamma \max_{a'} \hat{Q}\left(s', a', W\right) - \hat{Q}\left(s, a, W\right) \right] \bigtriangledown_{W} \hat{Q}\left(s, a, W\right)
$$

or

$$
W = W + \alpha \left[ r + \gamma \max \left( X^{\mathsf{T}} \left( s', a' \right) W \right) - X^{\mathsf{T}} \left( s, a \right) W \right] X \left( s, a \right)
$$

4 日下

∢母

 $298$ 

活

Deep Neural Networks to approximate

- Value function
- Policy
- Model

4 0 8

Neural network

$$
\hat{V} = Wz = W\phi(s, a)
$$
  

$$
\hat{Q} = Wz = W\phi[V(s, a) ...]
$$

Stochastic gradient decent (SGD)

$$
\Delta W = -\alpha \nabla_W J(W)
$$

4 0 8

MC method

$$
\Delta W = \alpha \left[ G_t - \hat{Q} \left( s, a, W \right) \right] \nabla_W \hat{Q} \left( s, a, W \right)
$$

SARSAR

$$
\Delta W = \alpha \left[ r + \gamma \hat{Q} \left( s', a', W \right) - \hat{Q} \left( s, a, W \right) \right] \nabla_W \hat{Q} \left( s, a, W \right)
$$

Q-leanming

$$
\Delta W = \alpha \left[ r + \gamma \max_{a} \hat{Q}\left(s', a, W\right) - \hat{Q}\left(s, a, W\right) \right] \nabla_{W} \hat{Q}\left(s, a, W\right)
$$

4 0 8 ∢母  $299$ 

э

- Deep Q-Network (DQN) that is the first deep reinforcement learning method proposed by DeepMind. Paper was published on Nature in 2015
- NN is CNN
- Naive DQN has 3 convolutional layers and 2 fully connected layers to estimate Q values directly from images.
- <span id="page-51-0"></span>**• DNN** is easily overfitting in online reinforcement learning.