Policy Evaluation and Value function Approximation

Wen Yu Departamento de Control Automático CINVESTAV-IPN

ow to learn good policy

- On-policy: evaluate a policy from data obtained from **that** policy. Sampling policy is the same as learning policy. (*s*₁, *a*₁, *s*₂, *a*₂)
- Off-policy: evaluate a policy from data obtained from a different policy. Sampling policy is different with learning policy. (s₁, a₁, s₂, a₁), (s₁, a₂, s₂, a₂)

On-policy:

- It learns a better policy using the data from this policy. It is local optimal.
- It cannot assure both exploration and exploitation.

Off-policy approach used two policies:

- One policy generates behavior (exploration, go anywhere). It is called behavior policy, *b*
- \bullet Another policy is for exploitation (use optimal policy). It is called target policy, π

$\mathsf{On-policy}/\mathsf{Off-policy}$



æ

ヨト・イヨト

• • • • • • • •

Initialize policy π

Repeat

Polity evaluation: compute Q^{π} Policy improvement: update π

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'\left(s\right) &= \arg\max_{a} Q^{\pi}\left(s,a\right) \\ &= \arg\max_{a}\left[r\left(s,a\right) + \gamma\sum_{s'\in S} p\left(s' \mid s,a\right) V^{\pi}\left(s'\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

It is model-based, we need $p(s' \mid s, a)$

MC for Q evaluation (On Policy)

MC Policy Evaluation (Model free) Initialize the counter N(s, a) = 0, G(s, a) = 0, $Q^{\pi}(s, a) = 0$, $\forall s \in S$, $\forall a \in A$

Loop

Using policy π sample the episode *i* untill T_i , generate $s_{i,1}, a_{i,1}, r_{i,1}, \cdots s_{i,T_i}, a_{i,T_i}, r_{i,T_i},$ calculate the return from *t* to all path of the *i*th episode

$$G_{i,t} = r_{i,t} + \gamma r_{i,t+1} + \dots + \gamma^{T_i-1} r_{i,T_i}$$

For each state-action (s, a) visited in episode i

For the **first** time t that the state (s, a) is visited in episode i

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{N}\left(\mathsf{s},\mathsf{a}\right) = \mathsf{N}\left(\mathsf{s},\mathsf{a}\right) + 1 \\ & \mathsf{G}\left(\mathsf{s},\mathsf{a}\right) = \mathsf{G}\left(\mathsf{s},\mathsf{a}\right) + \mathsf{G}_{i,t}\left(\mathsf{s},\mathsf{a}\right) \\ & \mathsf{Q}^{\pi}\left(\mathsf{s},\mathsf{a}\right) = \frac{\mathsf{G}\left(\mathsf{s},\mathsf{a}\right)}{\mathsf{N}\left(\mathsf{s},\mathsf{a}\right)} \end{split}$$

Given an estimate $Q^{\pi}(s, a)$, $\forall s, \forall a$ Update new policy

$$\pi_{t+1}\left(s
ight)=rg\max_{a}Q^{\pi_{t}}\left(s,a
ight)$$

$$rg\max_{a} Q^{\pi_{i}}\left(s,a
ight)
ightarrow a$$

 $\max_{a} Q^{\pi_{i}}\left(s,a
ight)
ightarrow Q$

2

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Policy Evaluation with Exploration

- Need to try all (s, a) pairs, then follow π
- $Q^{\pi}(s, a)$ should be good enough so that policy improvement is a monotonic operator

Balance exploration and exploitation. Define $|\mathcal{A}|$ be the number of actions. ϵ -greedy policy is

$$\pi\left(\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{s}\right) = \begin{cases} \text{arg max}_{\mathbf{a}} Q^{\pi}\left(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}\right) & \text{probability } (1 - \epsilon) \\ \mathbf{a} & \text{probability } \frac{\epsilon}{|\mathcal{A}|} \end{cases}$$

where *a* is a random action with probability ϵ , but we take a $|\mathcal{A}|$ times, $\max_a Q^{\pi}(s, a)$ is greedy with probability $(1 - \epsilon)$ It is also called ϵ -soft, or soft policy

Theorem

For any ϵ -greedy policy π , w.r.t. Q^{π} , π_{i+1} is a monotonic improvement

 $V^{\pi_{i+1}} \geq V^{\pi_i}$

Proof.

Becasue

$$\begin{array}{l} Q^{\pi_{k}}\left(s,a\right)=r\left(s,a\right)+\gamma\sum_{s'\in S}p\left(s'\mid s,a\right)V^{\pi_{k}}\left(s'\right)\\ Q^{\pi}\left(s,a\right)=r\left(s,a\right)+\gamma\sum_{s'\in S}\pi\left(s'\mid s,a\right)Q^{\pi}\left(s'\right) \end{array}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} & V^{\pi_{i+1}} = V^{\pi}\left(s, \pi_{i+1}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left[Q^{\pi_{i+1}}\left(s, a\right)\right] = \sum_{a \in A} \pi_{i+1}\left(a \mid s\right) Q^{\pi}\left(s, a\right) \\ &= (1 - \epsilon) \max_{a} Q^{\pi}\left(s, a\right) + \frac{\epsilon}{|\mathcal{A}|} \sum_{a \in A} Q^{\pi}\left(s, a\right) \end{aligned}$$

We calculate

$$\begin{array}{l} (1-\epsilon) \max_{a} Q^{\pi}\left(s,a\right) = (1-\epsilon) \max_{a} Q^{\pi}\left(s,a\right) \frac{1-\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} \\ = (1-\epsilon) \max_{a} Q^{\pi}\left(s,a\right) \frac{\left(\sum_{a} \pi_{i}(a|s)\right)-\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} \\ \geq (1-\epsilon) Q^{\pi}\left(s,a\right) \frac{\sum_{a} \left[\pi_{i}(a|s) - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}|}\epsilon\right]}{1-\epsilon} \\ = Q^{\pi}\left(s,a\right) \sum_{a} \left[\pi_{i}\left(a \mid s\right) - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}|}\epsilon\right] \\ = \sum_{a} \pi_{i}\left(a \mid s\right) Q^{\pi}\left(s,a\right) - \frac{\epsilon}{|\mathcal{A}|} \sum_{a} Q^{\pi}\left(s,a\right) \end{array}$$

3

So

$$V^{\pi}(s, \pi_{i+1}) \geq \sum_{a} \pi_{i}(a \mid s) Q^{\pi}(s, a) - \frac{\epsilon}{|\mathcal{A}|} \sum_{a} Q^{\pi}(s, a) + \frac{\epsilon}{|\mathcal{A}|} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q^{\pi}(s, a) = \sum_{a} \pi_{i}(a \mid s) Q^{\pi}(s, a) = \mathbb{E}\left[Q^{\pi}(s, a)\right] = V^{\pi_{i}}$$

So the policy improvement

$$\pi \left(\textbf{\textit{a}} \mid \textbf{\textit{s}} \right) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \arg \max_{\textbf{\textit{a}}} Q^{\pi} \left(\textbf{\textit{s}}, \textbf{\textit{a}} \right) & \text{probability} \ \left(1 - \epsilon \right) \\ \textbf{\textit{a}} & \text{probability} \ \frac{\epsilon}{|\mathcal{A}|} \end{array} \right.$$

can assure

$$V^{\pi_{i+1}} \geq V^{\pi_i}$$
, for all $s \in S$

arepsilon-greedy policy improvement over policy π , for any $s\in\mathcal{S}$

Convergence the soft-policy

When the ε -soft policy π is no longer improved, then

 $V^{\pi_{i+1}} = V^{\pi_i}$

Proof.

Wehn the policy π is no longer improved,

$$\max_{a}Q^{\pi}\left(\textbf{s},\textbf{a}\right) =Q^{\pi}\left(\textbf{s},\textbf{a}\right)$$

so

$$\begin{split} & V^{\pi}\left(s,\pi_{i+1}\right) = \frac{\epsilon}{|\mathcal{A}|} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q^{\pi}\left(s,a\right) + (1-\epsilon) \max_{a} Q^{\pi}\left(s,a\right) \\ & = \sum_{a} \pi_{i}\left(a \mid s\right) Q^{\pi}\left(s,a\right) = V^{\pi_{i}} = V^{\pi_{*}} \end{split}$$

GLIE (Greedy in Limit of Infinite Exploration)

If all state-action pairs are visited an infinite number of times

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}N_i\left(s,a\right)\to\infty$$

This means $\epsilon = 0$, the ϵ -greedy policy becomes

$$\pi\left(\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{s}\right) = \arg\max_{\mathbf{a}} Q^{\pi}\left(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}\right)$$

GLIE

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \pi_{i} \left(\textbf{\textit{a}} \mid \textbf{\textit{s}} \right) \to \arg \max_{\text{with prob 1}} Q \left(\textbf{\textit{s}}, \textbf{\textit{a}} \right)$$

So the behavior policy max Q(s, a) converges to greedy policy $\pi_i(a \mid s)$.

MC for Q function evaluation with e-greedy

Initialize the counter N(s, a) = 0, Q(s, a) = 0, $\forall s \in S$, $\forall a \in A$, set $\epsilon = 1$, i = 1Create initial ϵ -greedy policy

$$\pi_i = \epsilon$$
-greedy (Q)

Loop

sample the episode *i* with $\pi_i : s_{i,1}, a_{i,1}, r_{i,1}, \cdots s_{i,T_i}, a_{i,T_i}, r_{i,T_i}$ calculate the return from *t* to all path of the *i*th episode

$$G_{i,t} = r_{i,t} + \gamma r_{i,t+1} + \dots + \gamma^{T_i - 1} r_{i,T_i}$$

For $t = 1 \dots T$
if first-visit (s, a) in episode i ,
$$N(s, a) = N(s, a) + 1$$

$$Q(s_t, a_t) = \frac{G(s, a)}{N(s, a)}$$

$$= Q(s_t, a_t) + \frac{1}{N(s, a)} [G_{i,t} - Q(s_t, a_t)]$$

i = i + 1(CINVESTAV-IPN)

```
Initial \epsilon-greedy policy \pi randomly (\epsilon = 1), initial state s_t = s_0
Sample action from policy, Take a_t \sim \pi(s_t)
Observer (r_t, s_{t+1})
Loop
      Take action a_{t+1} \sim \pi(s_{t+1})
      Observer (r_{t+1}, s_{t+2})
      Update Q with (s_t, a_t, r_t, s_{t+1}, a_{t+1})
         Q(s_{t}, a_{t}) = Q(s_{t}, a_{t}) + \alpha [r_{t} + \gamma Q(s_{t+1}, a_{t+1}) - Q(s_{t}, a_{t})]
      Perform policy improvement, \epsilon-greedy(Q)
```

t = t + 1

Theorem

SARSA for finite-state and finite-action MDPs converges to the optimal action-value,

$$Q\left(s,a
ight)
ightarrow Q^{st}\left(s,a
ight)$$

if 1) The policy sequence $\pi_t(a \mid s)$ satisfied the condition GLIE (All state-action pairs are visited an infinite number of times), 2) The step α_t satisfied the Robbins-Muno sequence

$$\sum_{t=1}^\infty \mathsf{a}_t = \infty$$
 $\sum_{t=1}^\infty \mathsf{a}_t^2 < \infty$

Empirically do not use it.

Robbins-Muno sequence

Check the condition

$$0 < {m a}_t \leq 1$$
 , $\sum_t {m a}_t = \infty$.

We usually select constant learning rate

$$a_t = lpha$$
, $0 < lpha \leq 1$

When

$$a_t = rac{\eta}{1+rac{1}{eta}k}, \quad 0 < \eta \leq 1, \quad eta >> 1$$

where η is a constant. Since β is very big, for finite time k, the learning rate $\alpha_k \approx \eta$, is constant.

Because

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\eta}{1+\frac{1}{\beta}k} = \infty$$
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\eta}{1+\frac{1}{\beta}k}\right)^2 = \eta^2 \beta^2 \psi\left(\beta,1\right) - \eta^2 < \infty$$

where $\psi(\beta, 1)$ is the Digamma function, it is bounded. So Q_k converges to zero w.p.1, and hence, Q_k converges to Q^* with probability one. Maintain state-action estimates and use bootstrapping, use the value of the best function action

$$Q\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right) = Q\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right) + \alpha \left[r_{t} + \gamma \max_{a'} Q\left(s_{t+1}, a'\right) - Q\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right)\right]$$

where SARSA is

$$Q\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right) = Q\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right) + \alpha\left[r_{t} + \gamma Q\left(s_{t+1}, a_{t+1}\right) - Q\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right)\right]$$

```
Initial Q(s, a), \forall s \in S, initial state s_t = s_0
Set \pi_b to be \epsilon-greedy w.r.t. Q
Loop
Take action a_t \sim \pi_b(s_t)
```

Observer
$$(r_t, s_{t+1})$$

Update Q with (s_t, a_t, r_t, s_{t+1})
 $Q(s_t, a_t) = Q(s_t, a_t) + \alpha \left[r_t + \gamma \max_a Q(s_{t+1}, a) - Q(s_t, a_t) \right]$

Perform policy improvement, ϵ -greedy(Q) t = t + 1

Double Q-learning

Initial $Q_{1}\left(s,a
ight)$ and $Q_{2}\left(s,a
ight)$, $orall s\in S$, initial state $s_{t}=s_{0}$ Loop

Set a_t using ϵ -greedy

$$\pi\left(\textit{s} \right) = \arg\max_{\textit{a}}\left(\textit{Q}_{1} + \textit{Q}_{2}\right)$$

Observer (r_t, s_{t+1}) If with *c* probability

$$Q_{1}\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right) = Q_{1}\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right) + \alpha \left[r_{t} + \gamma \max_{a} Q_{2}\left(s_{t+1}, a\right) - Q_{1}\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right)\right]$$

else

 $Q_{2}\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right) = Q_{2}\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right) + \alpha \left[r_{t} + \gamma \max_{a} Q_{1}\left(s_{t+1}, a\right) - Q_{2}\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right)\right]$

е

t = t + 1

Lemma

Consider the stochastic process (ζ, Δ, F) , let P be a sequence of increasing, ζ_0 and Δ_0 are P_0 -measurable, and ζ_k , Δ_k and F_k are P_k -measurable, if Δ_k satisfies

$$\Delta_{k+1} = (1 - \zeta_k) \,\Delta_k + \zeta_k F_k \tag{1}$$

and

()
$$0 < \zeta_k \leq 1$$
, $\sum_k \zeta_k = \infty$, $\sum_k \zeta_k^2 < \infty$

3 $\|E\{F_k|P_k\}\| \le \kappa \|\Delta_k\| + c_k$, $\kappa \in (0, 1]$, and c_k converges to zero

• var $\{F_k | P_k\} \le K(1 + \kappa \|\Delta_k\|)^2$, K is a positive constant, $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the maximum norm.

then Δ_k converges to zero with probability one.

Theorem

For the finite MDP (X, U, f, ρ) , the Q-learning algorithm

$$Q_{k+1}(x_k, u_k) = Q_k(x_k, u_k) + \alpha_k \{ \rho(x_k, u_k) + \gamma \min_{u^*} [Q_k(x_{k+1}, u^*)] - Q_k(x_k, u_k) \}$$

converges to the optimal value function Q^* almost surely, if

$$\sum_{k} \alpha_{k} = \infty, \quad \sum_{k} \alpha_{k}^{2} < \infty$$
⁽²⁾

November 20, 2024

23 / 52

The Q-learning algorithm is

$$Q_{k+1}(x_{k}, u_{k}) = Q_{k}(x_{k}, u_{k}) + \alpha_{k} [r_{k+1} + \gamma \min_{u^{*}} Q_{k}(x_{k+1}, u^{*}) - Q_{k}(x_{k}, u_{k})]$$

$$= (1 - \alpha_{k})Q_{k}(x_{k}, u_{k}) + \alpha_{k} [r_{k+1} + \gamma \min_{u^{*}} Q_{k}(x_{k+1}, u^{*})]$$
(3)
where $r_{k+1} = \rho(x_{k}, u_{k})$. Define $\Delta_{k}(x_{k}, u_{k}) = Q_{k}(x_{k}, u_{k}) - Q^{*}$,
 $\Delta_{k+1}(x_{k}, u_{k}) = (1 - \alpha_{k})\Delta_{k}(x_{k}, u_{k}) + \alpha_{k} \left(r_{k+1} + \gamma \min_{u^{*}} Q_{k}(x_{k+1}, u^{*}) - Q^{*}\right)$

Define

$$F_k(x_k, u_k) = r_{k+1} + \gamma \min_{u^*} Q_k(x_{k+1}, u^*) - Q^*$$

Check the condition

$$\|E\{F_k|P_k\}\| \le \kappa \|\Delta_k\| + c_k, \kappa \in (0, 1]$$

Use the value iteration mapping \mathcal{H} for P_k ,

$$E\{F_k(x_k, u_k)|P_k\} = \mathcal{H}\left[Q_k(x_k, u_k)\right] - Q^* = \mathcal{H}\left[Q_k(x_k, u_k)\right] - \mathcal{H}(Q^*)$$

Since \mathcal{H} is a contraction, from Lemma

$$\mathcal{H}\left[Q_k(x_k, u_k)\right] - \mathcal{H}(Q^*) \leq \gamma \|Q_k(x_k, u_k) - Q^*(x_k, u_k)\| = \gamma \|\Delta_k(x_k, u_k)\|$$

So

$$\|E\{F_k(x_k, u_k)|P_k\}\| \leq \gamma \|\Delta_k(x_k, u_k)\|$$

Check the condition

$$\operatorname{var}\{F_k|P_k\} \leq K(1+\kappa\|\Delta_k\|)^2$$

$$var\{F_k(x_k, u_k)|P_k\} = var\left[\left(r_{k+1} + \gamma \min_{u^*} Q_k(x_{k+1}, u^*) - Q^*\right)^2\right]$$

Because r_{k+1} is bounded, and $\Delta_k(x_k, u_k) = Q_k(x_k, u_k) - Q^*$,

$$\operatorname{var}\left\{ \mathsf{F}_{k}(\mathsf{x}_{k},\mathsf{u}_{k})|\mathsf{P}_{k}
ight\} \leq K\left(1+\gamma\left\|\Delta_{k}(\mathsf{x}_{k},\mathsf{u}_{k})
ight\|
ight)^{2}$$

where *K* is a constant.

Check the condition

$$0<{\zeta}_k\leq 1$$
, $\sum_k {\zeta}_k=\infty.$

For Q-learning we usually select constant learning rate

$$\zeta_k = lpha, \quad 0 < lpha \leq 1$$

But if

$$lpha_k = rac{\eta}{1+rac{1}{eta}k}, \quad 0 < \eta \leq 1, \quad eta >> 1$$

where η is a constant. Since β is very big, for finite time k, the learning rate $\alpha_k \approx \eta$, is constant.

Because

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\eta}{1+\frac{1}{\beta}k} &= \infty\\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\eta}{1+\frac{1}{\beta}k}\right)^2 &= \eta^2 \beta^2 \psi\left(\beta,1\right) - \eta^2 < \infty \end{split}$$

where $\psi(\beta, 1)$ is the Digamma function, it is bounded. So Δ_k converges to zero w.p.1, and hence, Q_k converges to Q^* with probability one.



- There are 5 rooms connected by doors.
- The outside of the building is number 5.
- An agent start from any room, and go outside (to Room-5)



"-1" represents no link between nodes

Q learning

$$Q_{k+1}\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right) = Q_{k}\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right) + \alpha \left[r_{t+1} + \gamma \max_{a_{t}}\left[Q_{k}\left(s_{t+1}, a_{t}\right)\right] - Q_{k}\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right)\right]$$

- Each exploration is an episode
- Each episode consists of the agent moving from the initial state to the goal state

Q-learning

The initial state is Room-1, $s_0 = 1$ The initialize matrix is $Q_1(s_0, a) = 0$ let $\gamma = 0.8$, $\alpha = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{k+1}\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right) &= Q_{k}\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right) + r_{t+1} + \gamma \max_{a_{t}}\left[Q_{k}\left(s_{t+1}, a_{t}\right)\right] - Q_{k}\left(s_{t}, a_{t}\right) \\ &= r_{t+1} + \gamma \max_{a_{t}}\left[Q_{k}\left(s_{t+1}, a_{t}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

Let Q(1,5) is the value of Q-table as $Q_{1,5}$. It is means: Room 1 $(s_t) \rightarrow$ Room 5 (s_{t+1}) . $Q_k(s_{t+1}, a)$: from Room 5 there are three routes, we use greedy policy $\max_a \{q(5,1), q(5,4), q(5,5)\}$

$$Q(1,5) = r(1,5) + 0.8 \max_{a} \{Q(5,1), Q(5,4), Q(5,5)\} = 100 + 0.8 * 0 =$$

After one episode

Image: A matrix

æ

Q-learning

Next episode: The initial state is Room-3, $s_0 = 3$ There are three possible actions: $3 \rightarrow 1, 3 \rightarrow 2, 3 \rightarrow 4$ For $3 \rightarrow 1$, there are 2 possible actions: $1 \rightarrow 3, 1 \rightarrow 5$.

$$Q(3,1) = r(3,1) + 0.8 \max_{a} \{Q(1,3), Q(1,5)\} = 0 + 0.8 * 100 = 80$$

Then from Room-1, got to Room-5 to finish this episode

After many episodes, Q-table reaches

æ

Control policy





If the initial is Room-2, the optimal trajectory is $2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 5$.

Generalization: Tabular representation is insufficient Update the estimator after each episod (MC) or each step (TD): teacher Approximating v_{π} from experience using a known policy π . Approximate state value,

$$\hat{V}(s,w) pprox V^{\pi}(s)(s)$$

Approximate control methods: approximation of the action-state value of policy $\pi,$

$$\hat{Q}\left(extsf{s}, extsf{a}, extsf{w}
ight) pprox Q^{\pi}\left(extsf{s}
ight) \left(extsf{s}, extsf{a}
ight)$$

There are many ways to approximate the value function. For example, in the simplest linear representation, using $\phi(s)$ to represent the eigenvector of the state s, the state value function can be approximately expressed as:

$$\hat{V}(s,w) = W^{T}\phi(s)$$

- Linear feature representation
- Output Networks
- Oecision trees: highly interpretable
- Nearest neighbors
- Sourier/Wavelet bases

Differential function approximators (smooth optimation property)

- Linear feature representation
- Neural Networks

We use a feature vetror to represent the feature of the state s,

$$X(s) = [x_1(s) \cdots x_n(s)]$$

where the features are basis function, which can be defined in many different ways.Value function

$$\hat{V}\left(s,w
ight)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}W_{i}X_{i}\left(s
ight)=X^{T}\left(s
ight)W$$

The object function is

$$J_{w}=\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left\{ \left[V^{\pi}\left(s
ight) -\hat{V}\left(s,w
ight)
ight] ^{2}
ight\}$$

The real value function $V^{\pi}(s)$ uses TD (SARSA) or Q-learning. The stochastic gradient descent is

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta W &= -\alpha \bigtriangledown J(W) = -\alpha \frac{\partial J}{\partial W} \\ &= -2\alpha \left[\hat{V}(s, w) - V^{\pi}(s) \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial W} \hat{V}(s, w) \\ &= -2\alpha \left[\hat{V}(s, w) - V^{\pi}(s) \right] X(s) \end{aligned}$$

where α is leraning rate, $\hat{V}(s, w) - V^{\pi}(s)$ is prediction error, X(s) is feature value

1

Becasue we do not have real $V^{\pi}(s)$ as the target in supervised learning, we use estimated values from MC or TD to replace $V^{\pi}(s)$

MC: the state value is the expected value of the return, we can substitue $V^{\pi}\left(s
ight)$ the the return

$$G_t = \sum_i \gamma^{i-1} R_{i,t}$$

after each episod

$$W_{t+1} - W_t = \alpha \left[G_t - X^T \left(s_t \right) W_t \right] X \left(s_t \right)$$

Let $G(s_i)$ be an unbiased sample of true expected return $V^{\pi}(s_i)$

$$J = \arg\min_{W} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[G\left(s_{i}\right) - W_{i}X_{i}\left(s\right) \right]$$

Least square

$$W = \left(X^{\mathsf{T}}X
ight)^{-1} XG$$

where X is a matrix of the features of each of N states $X_i(s)$ No any Markov assumption

TD learning

$$V_{t+1}^{\pi}(s) = V_{t}^{\pi}(s) + \alpha \left[\left\{ r_{t} + \gamma V_{t}^{\pi}(s_{t+1}) \right\} - V_{t}^{\pi}(s) \right]$$

or

$$V^{\pi}(s) = V^{\pi}(s) + \alpha \left[r + \gamma V^{\pi}(s') - V^{\pi}(s) \right]$$

The traget is

$$r + \gamma V^{\pi}(s')$$

find weights to minimize the mean squared erroe return $V^{\pi}\left(s_{i}
ight)$

$$J_{w} = \mathbb{E}_{\pi} \left\{ \left[r + \gamma \hat{V}^{\pi} \left(s', W \right) - \hat{V}^{\pi} \left(s, W \right) \right]^{2} \right\}$$

Linear TD(0)

$$\Delta W = \alpha \left[r_t + \gamma \hat{V}^{\pi} \left(s', W \right) - \hat{V}^{\pi} \left(s, W \right) \right] X \left(s \right)$$

= $\alpha \left[r + \gamma X^{T} \left(s' \right) W - X^{T} \left(s \right) W \right] X \left(s \right)$

TD(0) linear value function approximation: Policy Evaluation

Initial
$$t = 1$$
, $W = \text{rand}$
Loop
Sample (s_t, a_t, r_t, s_{t+1})

Update weights

$$W = W + \alpha \left[r + \gamma X^{T} \left(s' \right) W - X^{T} \left(s \right) W \right] X \left(s \right)$$
$$t = t + 1$$

$$\hat{Q}\left(s, a, W
ight) pprox Q^{\pi}\left(s, a
ight)$$
 $J_{w} = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left\{\left[Q^{\pi}\left(s, a
ight) - \hat{Q}\left(s, a, W
ight)
ight]^{2}
ight\}$

Use stochastic gradient descent to fid a local minimum

$$\Delta W = -\alpha \frac{\partial J}{\partial W}$$
$$X (s, a) = [x_1 (s, a) \cdots x_n (s, a)]$$
$$\hat{Q} (s, a, W) = X^T (s, a) W$$

Action-Value approximation

MC

$$W_{t+1} - W_t = \alpha \left[G_t - X^T \left(s_t, a_t \right) W_t \right] X \left(s_t, a_t \right)$$

SARSA

$$W = W + \alpha \left[r + \gamma X^{T} \left(s', a' \right) W - X^{T} \left(s, a \right) W \right] X \left(s, a \right)$$

Q-learning

$$W = W + \alpha \left[r + \gamma \max_{a'} \hat{Q} \left(s', a', W \right) - \hat{Q} \left(s, a, W \right) \right] \bigtriangledown_{W} \hat{Q} \left(s, a, W \right)$$

or

$$W = W + \alpha \left[r + \gamma \max \left(X^{T} \left(s', a' \right) W \right) - X^{T} \left(s, a \right) W \right] X \left(s, a \right)$$

æ

Deep Neural Networks to approximate

- Value function
- Policy
- Model

Neural network

$$\hat{V} = Wz = W\phi(s, a) \hat{Q} = Wz = W\phi[V(s, a) \dots]$$

Stochastic gradient decent (SGD)

$$\Delta W = -\alpha \nabla_W J(W)$$

MC method

$$\Delta W = \alpha \left[\mathsf{G}_{t} - \hat{Q} \left(\mathsf{s}, \mathsf{a}, \mathsf{W} \right) \right] \nabla_{W} \hat{Q} \left(\mathsf{s}, \mathsf{a}, \mathsf{W} \right)$$

SARSAR

$$\Delta W = lpha \left[\mathbf{r} + \gamma \hat{Q} \left(\mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a}', W
ight) - \hat{Q} \left(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}, W
ight)
ight]
abla_W \hat{Q} \left(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}, W
ight)$$

Q-leanming

$$\Delta W = \alpha \left[r + \gamma \max_{a} \hat{Q} \left(s', a, W \right) - \hat{Q} \left(s, a, W \right) \right] \nabla_{W} \hat{Q} \left(s, a, W \right)$$

э

- Deep Q-Network (DQN) that is the first deep reinforcement learning method proposed by DeepMind. Paper was published on Nature in 2015
- NN is CNN
- Naive DQN has 3 convolutional layers and 2 fully connected layers to estimate Q values directly from images.
- DNN is easily overfitting in online reinforcement learning.